

Three Dimensional Intercriteria Analysis over Intuitionistic Fuzzy Data

Velichka Traneva¹, Stoian Tranev¹, Eulalia Szmidt²,
and Krassimir Atanassov^{1,3}(✉)

¹ “Prof. Asen Zlatarov” University, “Prof. Yakimov” Blvd, 8000 Bourgas, Bulgaria
veleka13@gmail.com, tranev@abv.bg

² Systems Research Institute, Polish Academy of Sciences,
ul. Newelska 6, 01-447 Warsaw, Poland
szmidt@ibspan.waw.pl

³ Department of Bioinformatics and Mathematical Modelling,
Institute of Biophysics and Biomedical Engineering, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences,
Acad. G. Bonchev Str., Bl. 105, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
krat@bas.bg

Abstract. In the paper is extended two dimensional intercriteria analysis over intuitionistic fuzzy data to three dimensional and will be discussed possibility for application of this analysis as an illustration of the application of the intercriteria analysis.

Keywords: Intercriteria analysis · Intuitionistic fuzzy index matrix · Intuitionistic fuzzy pair

AMS Classification: 03E72

1 Introduction

The concept of intercriteria analysis was introduced in [4, 7]. The intercriteria analysis is based on the apparatus of the Index Matrices (IMs, [4]) and of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets (IFSs, [3]).

The paper is a continuation of the papers [1, 6, 7, 9–13, 16] and we for the first time discuss the possibility, the data, that will be processed by three dimensional intercriteria analysis, to be intuitionistic fuzzy pairs (IFP, see [8]) or more general intuitionistic fuzzy data, saved in 3D-intuitionistic fuzzy index matrix (3D-IFIM, [19]).

2 Basic Definitions

2.1 Short Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Pairs

Let us started with some remarks on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Logic from [3, 8]. The IFP is an object with the form $\langle a, b \rangle$, where $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and $a + b \leq 1$, that

is used as an evaluation of some object or process. Its components (a and b) are interpreted as degrees of membership and non-membership, or degrees of validity and non-validity, or degree of correctness and non-correctness, etc. Let us have two IFPs $x = \langle a, b \rangle$ and $y = \langle c, d \rangle$.

Let us have two IFPs $x = \langle a, b \rangle$ and $y = \langle c, d \rangle$. In [8] were defined following operations:

$$\begin{aligned}\neg x &= \langle b, a \rangle \\ x \&x y &= \langle \min(a, c), \max(b, d) \rangle \\ x \vee y &= \langle \max(a, c), \min(b, d) \rangle \\ x + y &= \langle a + c - a.c, b.d \rangle \\ x.y &= \langle a.c, b + d - b.d \rangle \\ x @ y &= \langle \frac{a+c}{2}, \frac{b+d}{2} \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

and relations

$$\begin{aligned}x < y &\text{ iff } a < c \text{ and } b > d \\ x > y &\text{ iff } a > c \text{ and } b < d \\ x \geq y &\text{ iff } a \geq c \text{ and } b \leq d \\ x \leq y &\text{ iff } a \leq c \text{ and } b \geq d \\ x = y &\text{ iff } a = c \text{ and } b = d.\end{aligned}$$

2.2 Short Remarks on Index Matrices

The concept of Index Matrix (IM) was discussed in a series of papers and collected in [4].

Definition of 3D-Extended Index Matrix (3D-EIM). Let \mathcal{X} be a fixed set of objects (real numbers, numbers 0 or 1, logical variables, propositions or predicates, intuitionistic fuzzy pairs (IFPs), function and etc.). Let \mathcal{I} be a fixed sets of indices and

$$\mathcal{I}^n = \{ \langle i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \rangle \mid (\forall j : 1 \leq j \leq n)(i_j \in \mathcal{I}) \} \text{ and } \mathcal{I}^* = \bigcup_{1 \leq n \leq \infty} \mathcal{I}^n.$$

By 3D-extended IM (3D-EIM) [4, 17], with index sets K, L and H ($K, L, H \subset \mathcal{I}^*$) and elements from the set \mathcal{X} we denote the object :

$$[K, L, H, \{a_{k_i, l_j, h_g}\}] = \left\{ \begin{array}{c|cccc} h_g & l_1 & \dots & l_j & \dots & l_n \\ \hline k_1 & a_{k_1, l_1, h_g} & \vdots & a_{k_1, l_j, h_g} & \dots & a_{k_1, l_n, h_g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ k_i & a_{k_i, l_1, h_g} & \dots & a_{k_i, l_j, h_g} & \dots & a_{k_i, l_n, h_g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ k_m & a_{k_m, l_1, h_g} & \dots & a_{k_m, l_j, h_g} & \dots & a_{k_m, l_n, h_g} \end{array} \right\} \Big| h_g \in H \Big\},$$

where $K = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m\}$, $L = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\}$, $H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_f\}$, and for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, $1 \leq g \leq f : a_{k_i, l_j, h_g} \in \mathcal{X}$.

In [4, 17, 19], different operations, relations and operators are defined over IMs. For the needs of the present research, we will introduce the definitions of some of them. With $3D - EIM_{\mathcal{R}}$ we denote the set of all 3D-EIMs with elements real numbers, with $3D - EIM_{\{0,1\}}$ – the set of all (0, 1)-3D-EIMs with elements 0 or 1, with $3D - EIM_{\mathcal{P}}$ – the set of all 3D-EIMs with elements – predicates and , with $3D - EIM_{IFP}$ – the set of all 3D-EIMs with elements – IFPs.

We can define the evaluation function V that juxtaposes to this 3D-EIM a new one with elements – IFPs $\langle \mu, \nu \rangle$, where $\mu, \nu, \mu + \nu \in [0, 1]$. The new IM, called Intuitionistic Fuzzy IM (IFIM), contains the evaluations of the variables, propositions, etc., i.e., it has the form

$$\begin{aligned} V([K, L, H, \{a_{k_i, l_j, h_g}\}]) &= [K, L, H, \{V(a_{k_i, l_j, h_g})\}] \\ &= [K, L, H, \{\langle \mu_{k_i, l_j, h_g}, \nu_{k_i, l_j, h_g} \rangle\}] \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c|ccc} h_g \in H & l_1 & \dots & l_n \\ \hline k_1 & \langle \mu_{k_1, l_1, h_g}, \nu_{k_1, l_1, h_g} \rangle & \dots & \langle \mu_{k_1, l_n, h_g}, \nu_{k_1, l_n, h_g} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ k_i & \langle \mu_{k_i, l_1, h_g}, \nu_{k_i, l_1, h_g} \rangle & \dots & \langle \mu_{k_i, l_n, h_g}, \nu_{k_i, l_n, h_g} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ k_m & \langle \mu_{k_m, l_1, h_g}, \nu_{k_m, l_1, h_g} \rangle & \dots & \langle \mu_{k_m, l_n, h_g}, \nu_{k_m, l_n, h_g} \rangle \end{array} \right\} \Big| h_g \in H, \end{aligned}$$

where for every $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq g \leq f$: $V(a_{k_i, l_j, h_g}) = \langle \mu_{k_i, l_j, h_g}, \nu_{k_i, l_j, h_g} \rangle$ and $0 \leq \mu_{k_i, l_j, h_g}, \nu_{k_i, l_j, h_g}, \mu_{k_i, l_j, h_g} + \nu_{k_i, l_j, h_g} \leq 1$.

Aggregation Operations over 3D-EIM. Let the 3D-EIM $A = [K, L, H, \{a_{k_i, l_j, h_g}\}]$ be given, where $K, L, H \subset \mathcal{I}^*$, and let $k_0 \notin K, l_0 \notin L, h_0 \notin H$. Let $\circ : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $*$: $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Let

$$\circ \in \begin{cases} \{“+”, “\times”, “average”, “max”, “min”\}, & \text{if } A \in 3D - EIM_{\mathcal{R}}, \\ \{“max”, “min”\}, & \text{if } A \in 3D - EIM_{\{0,1\}} \\ \{“\wedge”, “\vee”\}, & \text{if } A \in 3D - EIM_{\mathcal{P}} \\ & \text{or } A \in 3D - EIM_{IFP} \end{cases}$$

In the case of $3D - EIM_{IFP}$, in aggregation operations can participate aggregating pair operations $(\circ, *)$ whose elements are applied respectively on the first and second element of IFP, where

$$(\circ, *) \in \{(min, max)(min, average), (min, min), (average, average), (average, min), (max, min)\}.$$

Therefore when $A \in 3D - EIM_{IFP}$, operations “ $(\circ, *)$ ” are defined for the intuitionistic fuzzy pairs $\langle a, b \rangle$ and $\langle c, d \rangle$, elements of A by

$$\langle a, b \rangle (\circ, *) \langle c, d \rangle = \langle \circ(a, c), *(b, d) \rangle.$$

In all other cases, we use only one operation (\circ).

In [18] were defined aggregation operations. We will recall the following definition:

(\circ) – α_H -aggregation

$$\alpha_{(H,\circ)}(A, h_0) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} l_j & h_0 \\ \hline k_1 & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_1, l_1, h_g} \\ k_2 & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_2, l_2, h_g} \mid l_j \in L \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ k_m & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_m, l_n, h_g} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c|cccc} & l_1 & l_2 & \dots & l_n \\ \hline k_1 & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_1, l_1, h_g} & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_1, l_2, h_g} & \dots & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_1, l_n, h_g} \\ k_2 & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_2, l_1, h_g} & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_2, l_2, h_g} & \dots & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_2, l_n, h_g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ k_m & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_m, l_1, h_g} & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_m, l_2, h_g} & \dots & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_m, l_n, h_g} \end{array}.$$

3 Three Dimensional Intercriteria Analysis Applied over Intuitionistic Fuzzy Data

In this section we extended two-dimensional intercriteria analysis from [12] applied over intuitionistic fuzzy data to three dimensional.

Let us have the set of objects $O = \{O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n\}$ that must be evaluated by criteria from the set $C = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m\}$ in the index $h_g \in H$ for $1 \leq g \leq f$, where H is the third fixed scale and h_g is its element. For example, index set H can be interpreted as a time-scale and its elements h_g – as time-moments.

Let us have an 3D-EIM

$$A = \left\{ \begin{array}{c|cccccc} h_g & O_1 & \dots & O_i & \dots & O_j & \dots & O_n \\ \hline C_1 & a_{C_1, O_1, h_g} & \dots & a_{C_1, O_i, h_g} & \dots & a_{C_1, O_j, h_g} & \dots & a_{C_1, O_n, h_g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_k & a_{C_k, O_1, h_g} & \dots & a_{C_k, O_i, h_g} & \dots & a_{C_k, O_j, h_g} & \dots & a_{C_k, O_n, h_g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_l & a_{C_l, O_1, h_g} & \dots & a_{C_l, O_i, h_g} & \dots & a_{C_l, O_j, h_g} & \dots & a_{C_l, O_n, h_g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_m & a_{C_m, O_1, h_g} & \dots & a_{C_m, O_i, h_g} & \dots & a_{C_m, O_j, h_g} & \dots & a_{C_m, O_n, h_g} \end{array} \mid h_g \in H \right\},$$

where for every p, q ($1 \leq p \leq m, 1 \leq q \leq n$):

- (1) C_p is a criterion, taking part in the evaluation,
- (2) O_q is an object, being evaluated.
- (3) a_{C_p, O_q, h_g} is a variable, formula or $a_{C_p, O_q, h_g} = \langle \alpha_{C_p, O_q, h_g}, \beta_{C_p, O_q, h_g} \rangle$ is an IFP, that is comparable about relation R with the other a -objects, so that for each i, j, k, g : $R(a_{C_k, O_i, h_g}, a_{C_k, O_j, h_g})$ is defined. Let \bar{R} be the dual relation of R in the sense that if R is satisfied, then \bar{R} is not satisfied and vice versa. For example, if " R " is the relation " $<$ ", then \bar{R} is the relation " $>$ ", and vice versa.

For each index h_g ($1 \leq g \leq f$) let $S_{k,l,g}^\mu$ be the number of cases in which

$$\langle \alpha_{C_k, O_i, h_g}, \beta_{C_k, O_i, h_g} \rangle \leq \langle \alpha_{C_k, O_j, h_g}, \beta_{C_k, O_j, h_g} \rangle$$

and

$$\langle \alpha_{C_l, O_i, h_g}, \beta_{C_l, O_i, h_g} \rangle \leq \langle \alpha_{C_l, O_j, h_g}, \beta_{C_l, O_j, h_g} \rangle,$$

or

$$\langle \alpha_{C_k, O_i, h_g}, \beta_{C_k, O_i, h_g} \rangle \geq \langle \alpha_{C_k, O_j, h_g}, \beta_{C_k, O_j, h_g} \rangle$$

and

$$\langle \alpha_{C_l, O_i, h_g}, \beta_{C_l, O_i, h_g} \rangle \geq \langle \alpha_{C_l, O_j, h_g}, \beta_{C_l, O_j, h_g} \rangle$$

are simultaneously satisfied.

Let $S_{k,l,g}^\nu$ be the number of cases in which

$$\langle \alpha_{C_k, O_i, h_g}, \beta_{C_k, O_i, h_g} \rangle \geq \langle \alpha_{C_k, O_j, h_g}, \beta_{C_k, O_j, h_g} \rangle$$

and

$$\langle \alpha_{C_l, O_i, h_g}, \beta_{C_l, O_i, h_g} \rangle \leq \langle \alpha_{C_l, O_j, h_g}, \beta_{C_l, O_j, h_g} \rangle,$$

or

$$\langle \alpha_{C_k, O_i, h_g}, \beta_{C_k, O_i, h_g} \rangle \leq \langle \alpha_{C_k, O_j, h_g}, \beta_{C_k, O_j, h_g} \rangle$$

and

$$\langle \alpha_{C_l, O_i, h_g}, \beta_{C_l, O_i, h_g} \rangle \geq \langle \alpha_{C_l, O_j, h_g}, \beta_{C_l, O_j, h_g} \rangle$$

are simultaneously satisfied. We can see, that $S_{k,l,g}^\mu + S_{k,l,g}^\nu \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ for each g , so that $1 \leq g \leq f$.

Now, for every k, l, g , such that $1 \leq k < l \leq m$, $n \geq 2$ and g is fixed, we define

$$\mu_{C_k, C_l} = 2 \frac{S_{k,l}^\mu}{n(n-1)}, \quad \nu_{C_k, C_l} = 2 \frac{S_{k,l}^\nu}{n(n-1)}.$$

Hence,

$$\mu_{C_k, C_l, h_g} + \nu_{C_k, C_l, h_g} = 2 \frac{S_{k,l,g}^\mu}{n(n-1)} + 2 \frac{S_{k,l,g}^\nu}{n(n-1)} \leq 1.$$

Therefore, $\langle \mu_{C_k, C_l, h_g}, \nu_{C_k, C_l, h_g} \rangle$ is an IFP.

Now, we can construct the IM

$$R = \left\{ \begin{array}{c|ccc} h_g & C_1 & \cdots & C_m \\ \hline C_1 & \langle \mu_{C_1, C_1, h_g}, \nu_{C_1, C_1, h_g} \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mu_{C_1, C_m, h_g}, \nu_{C_1, C_m, h_g} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_m & \langle \mu_{C_m, C_1, h_g}, \nu_{C_m, C_1, h_g} \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mu_{C_m, C_m, h_g}, \nu_{C_m, C_m, h_g} \rangle \end{array} \middle| h_g \in H \right\}$$

that determines the degrees of correspondence between criteria C_1, \dots, C_m .

Let apply aggregation operation to the 3D-IM $R = [K, K, H, \{a_{k_i, l_j, h_g}\}]$ ($K, H \subset \mathcal{I}^*$) and let $h_0 \notin H$. Let $\circ : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $*$: $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Let

$$\langle \circ, * \rangle \in \{ \langle \min, \max \rangle, \langle \max, \min \rangle, \langle \text{average}, \text{average} \rangle \}.$$

Follow [18] we used aggregation operations as follows:

(\circ) – α_H -**aggregation**

$$\alpha_{(H, \circ)}(R, h_0) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} k_i & h_0 \\ \hline k_1 & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_1, k_1, h_g} \\ k_2 & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_2, k_2, h_g} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ k_m & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_m, k_m, h_g} \end{array} \middle| k_i \in K \right\}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c|cccc} & k_1 & k_2 & \dots & k_m \\ \hline k_1 & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_1, k_1, h_g} & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_1, k_2, h_g} & \dots & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_1, k_m, h_g} \\ k_2 & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_2, l_1, h_g} & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_2, l_2, h_g} & \dots & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_2, k_m, h_g} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ k_m & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_m, k_1, h_g} & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_m, k_2, h_g} & \dots & \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} a_{k_m, k_m, h_g} \end{array}.$$

Therefore, finally, R obtains the form

$$R = \begin{array}{c|ccc} h_g & C_1 & \dots & \\ \hline C_1 & \langle \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} \mu_{C_1, C_1, h_g}, \begin{array}{c} * \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} \nu_{C_1, C_1, h_g} \rangle & \dots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots \\ C_m & \langle \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} \mu_{C_m, C_1, h_g}, \begin{array}{c} * \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} \nu_{C_m, C_1, h_g} \rangle & \dots & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \dots \quad \quad \quad C_m \\
 \hline
 \dots \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} \mu_{C_1, C_m, h_g}, \begin{array}{c} * \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} \nu_{C_1, C_m, h_g} \right\rangle \\
 \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\
 \dots \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} \mu_{C_m, C_m, h_g}, \begin{array}{c} * \\ 1 \leq g \leq f \end{array} \nu_{C_m, C_m, h_g} \right\rangle
 \end{array}$$

where $\langle \circ, * \rangle \in \{\langle \min, \max \rangle, \langle \max, \min \rangle, \langle \text{average}, \text{average} \rangle\}$.

If the pair $\langle \circ, * \rangle = \langle \min, \max \rangle$ is used in this aggregation operation, then we obtain pessimistic forecast of intercriteria correlation coefficient values. With pair $\langle \circ, * \rangle = \langle \max, \min \rangle$, then optimistic evaluations are acquired. With pair $\langle \circ, * \rangle = \langle \text{average}, \text{average} \rangle$, we obtain the averaged estimate of the intercriteria correlation coefficients.

4 Conclusion

In the presented research, a three dimensional intercriteria analysis over intuitionistic fuzzy data is discussed. Intercriteria Analysis can be applied over intuitionistic fuzzy data to determine possible correlations between the pairs of criteria. In a next research of the authors, the above described constructions will be extend to the case of 3-dimensional multilayer IMs and will be applied to practical data.

In future, follow [14, 15] for the Kendall rank correlation coefficient between two IFSs, we will present another approach to 3-dimensional intercriteria analysis applied over intuitionistic fuzzy data.

Acknowledgments. The authors are thankful for the support provided by the Bulgarian National Science Fund under Grant Ref. No. DFNI-I-02-5 “InterCriteria Analysis: A New Approach to Decision Making” and the project of Asen Zlatarov University under Ref. No. NIS-401/2017 “Modern methods of optimization and business management”.

References

1. Angelova, N., Atanassov, K., Riecan, B.: Intercriteria analysis of the intuitionistic fuzzy implication properties. In: Proceedings of the 11th International Workshop on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Banská Bystrica, Slovakia, 30 October 2015. Int. J. Notes Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Vol. 21(5), pp. 20–23 (2015)
2. Atanassov, K.: Generalized index matrices, Comptes rendus de l’Academie Bulgare des Sciences, Vol. 40(11), pp. 15–18 (1987)
3. Atanassov, K.: On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets Theory. Springer, Berlin (2012)
4. Atanassov, K.: Index Matrices: Towards an Augmented Matrix Calculus. Springer, Cham (2014)

5. Atanassov, K., Atanassova, V., Gluhchev, G.: InterCriteria Analysis: Ideas and problems. *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, Vol. 21(1), pp. 81–88 (2015)
6. Atanassov, K., Atanassova, V., Chountas, P., Mitkova, M., Sotirova, E., Sotirov, S., Stratiev, D.: InterCriteria analysis over normalized data. In: *Proceedings of the 8th IEEE Conference Intelligent Systems*, Sofia, pp. 136–138, 4–6 September 2016
7. Atanassov, K., Mavrov, D., Atanassova, V.: InterCriteria decision making: a new approach for multicriteria decision making, based on index matrices and intuitionistic fuzzy sets. In: *Issues in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Generalized Nets*, Vol. 11, pp. 1–8 (2014)
8. Atanassov, K., Szmidt, E., Kacprzyk, J.: On intuitionistic fuzzy pairs. *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, Vol. 19(3), pp. 1–13 (2013)
9. Atanassova, V., Doukovska, L., Mavrov, D., Atanassov, K.: InterCriteria decision making approach to EU member states competitiveness analysis: Temporal and threshold analysis. In: Angelov, P., et al. (eds.) *Intelligent systems 2014*, pp. 95–106. Springer, Cham (2015)
10. Atanassova, V., Doukovska, L., Atanassov, K., Mavrov, D.: InterCriteria decision making approach to EU member states competitive analysis. In: *Proceedings of 4th International Symposium on Business Modeling and Software Design*, Luxembourg, Grand Duchy of Luxembourg, pp. 289–294, 24–26 June 2014. ISBN 978-989-758-032-1
11. Atanassova, V., Mavrov, D., Doukovska, L., Atanassov, K.: Discussion on the threshold values in the InterCriteria Decision Making approach. *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, Vol. 20(2), pp. 94–99 (2014)
12. Bureva, V., Sotirova, E., Atanassova, V., Angelova, N., Atanassov, K.: InterCriteria analysis over intuitionistic fuzzy data (2017, in press)
13. Stratiev, D., Sotirov, S., Shishkova, I., Nedelchev, A., Sharafutdinov, I., Vely, A., Mitkova, M., Yordanov, D., Sotirova, E., Atanassova, V., Atanassov, K., Stratiev, D.D., Rudnev, N., Ribagin, S.: Investigation of relationships between bulk properties and fraction properties of crude oils by application of the interCriteria analysis. *Pet. Sci. Technol.* **34**(13), 1113–1120 (2016)
14. Szmidt, E., Kaspzyk, J.: The kendall rank correlation between intuitionistic fuzzy sets. In: *Proceedings of the World Conference on Soft Computing*, San Francisco, 23–26 May 2011
15. Szmidt, E., Kaspzyk, J.: The spearman and kendall rank correlation coefficients between intuitionistic fuzzy sets. In: *EUSFLAT-LFA*, pp. 521–528. Atlantis Press (2011)
16. Todinova, S., Mavrov, D., Krumova, S., Marinov, P., Atanassova, V., Atanassov, K., Taneva, S.: Blood plasma thermograms dataset analysis by means of interCriteria and correlation analyses for the case of colorectal cancer. *Int. J. Bioautomation* **20**(1), 115–124 (2016)
17. Traneva, V., Atanassov, K., Rangasami, P.: On 3-dimensional index matrices. *Int. J. Res. Sci. Indexed in i-scholar* **1**(2), 64–68 (2014)
18. Traneva, V., Sotirova, E., Bureva, V., Atanassov, K.: Aggregation operations over 3-dimensional extended index matrices. *Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math.* **25**(3), 407–416 (2015)
19. Traneva, V.: On 3-dimensional intuitionistic fuzzy index matrices. *Notes Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets* **20**(4), 59–64 (2014)