

# Intercriteria analysis of the influence of the different sports activities on the physical and emotional status of university students

Simeon Ribagin<sup>1,2,3</sup>[0000-0002-0251-3251], and Ivanka Stavreva<sup>4</sup>[1111-2222-3333-4444]

<sup>1</sup> Department of Motor control, Institute of Biophysics and Biomedical Engineering, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria and

<sup>2</sup>Department of Rehabilitation, Imaging and laboratory diagnostics, Medical College, University "Prof. D-r Asen Zlatarov", Burgas, Bulgaria

<sup>3</sup>Department of "Physical Education and Sports"; "University of National and World Economy –Sofia, Bulgaria

<sup>4</sup>Department of "Physical Education and Sports"; University of Mining and Geology "St. Ivan Rilski"-Sofia, Bulgaria  
simribagin@gmail.com, vania.stavreva@abv.bg

**Abstract.** In this paper, we investigate the influence of the different academic sports activities on the university student's profile, particularly on their physical and emotional status, using the Intercriteria analysis approach. Nowadays universities are in the effort to contribute to student's academic life by providing variety of sports opportunities. These sports activities, which are a part of university education, should be seen as activities that aim at develop not only the student's physical condition, but also the emotional and intellectual condition. The purpose of the present study is to evaluate the influence of four different sports on the physical and emotional status of the university students

**Keywords:** Intercriteria analysis, University students, Sports activities

## 1 Introduction

The health and well-being of the undergraduate university students is in great importance, not only due to their potential societal influence in the future, but because many lifestyles related attitudes and habits are formed at this stage and persist across the life span [7]. Physical and athletic activity is considered an essential factor in achieving psychological and emotional balance for students by controlling and directing some behaviors through a set of exercises devoted to that, whether individual or collective [8]. At present students' physical fitness is declining and their psychological quality is getting worse and worse. Therefore, it is urgent to improve their physical and

mental health level. To improve the overall health status of the students, most of the universities in Bulgaria, promote different sports, as a compulsory discipline during the first two years of education. Many of the university’s sports departments are offering to students a wide range of sport disciplines for enhancing their physical and psychological health. The most popular sports in the department of "Physical Education and Sports" of the University of Mining and Geology “St. Ivan Rilski”-Sofia are: football, table tennis, fitness and basketball. In the present study, we propose the application of the approach of InterCriteria Analysis (ICrA) to data, obtained from university students practicing four different sports activities. The data was analyzed in search of correlations between the results from the method of testing for the level of several physical and personality qualities in order to investigate the influence of these sport activities on the overall status of the students and to find the best physical and psychological tests for the test battery.

## 2 Presentation of the input data

The investigation and the data collection process, has been carried out in the department of "Physical Education and Sports" of the University of Mining and Geology “St. Ivan Rilski”-Sofia. The contingent of the investigation are 70, 1st year students males, attending basketball (13 students, O1-O13), table tennis (21 students, O1-O21), fitness (18 students, O1-O18) and football (17 students, O1-O17) from “Physical Culture” subject. Following [9], we have chosen a set of several parameters defining the level of physical and personality status in a test battery of 17 control tests, divided into two major groups. In order to find differences and correlations among the criteria (C1-C17) and the influence of the specific sports on the students, the tests were performed in the beginning and at the end of the educational year. In the first group is the test determining the overall physical condition of the students: General physical fitness (C1), Strength (C2), Agility (C3), Flexibility (C4) and Finger dexterity (C5). In the second group are the tests related to the personality of the students: Control of attention (C6), Stability of attention (C7), Operational thinking (C8, C9, C10), Logical thinking (C11), Memory (C12), Personal activity (C13), Will-power (C14), Communication skills (C15), Organizational skills (C16) and Emotional stability (C17). The input data for the analysis of the four different sports is shown on tables 1-8.

Basketball	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11	C12	C13	C14	C15	C16	C17
O1	134.01	48	8.60	84.7	82.3	88.67	0.79	82	52.28	2.10	4	6	8	23	17	13	15
O2	191.21	12	10.50	25.0	71.0	32.31	0.63	84	36.71	1.57	4	9	7	24	17	14	6
O3	137.06	48	8.45	50.0	96.5	36.80	1.06	85	53.42	2.13	2	11	3	22	7	10	11
O4	148.45	33	8.60	44.0	98.8	27.16	0.57	66	41.78	1.73	5	10	9	20	14	14	15
O5	223.71	10	8.88	39.0	64.3	24.68	1.30	69	38.14	1.61	4	12	5	21	18	11	9
O6	221.08	15	10.02	33.0	72.5	25.47	0.63	81	56.95	2.16	3	8	4	19	4	19	12
O7	168.48	30	8.15	42.0	74.4	33.61	0.76	70	37.32	1.68	7	10	8	25	16	17	20
O8	157.37	26	8.24	31.7	99.3	37.52	1.00	71	58.01	2.05	7	8	10	20	11	14	10
O9	190.31	28	10.55	41.8	73.5	29.73	1.04	69	33.81	1.61	4	9	8	20	12	8	9
O10	242.29	17	10.48	29.7	82.8	50.78	0.88	72	41.88	1.78	3	8	8	20	11	12	18
O11	178.43	22	8.50	46.0	99.7	34.38	1.40	75	40.11	1.80	3	6	5	18	12	11	8
O12	123.35	47	7.86	41.0	55.0	34.02	1.01	73	42.86	1.81	3	12	13	21	7	12	18
O13	178.07	32	8.50	50.7	64.3	52.07	1.11	84	59.38	2.34	4	5	11	24	15	14	10

Table 1. The input data of the students practicing basketball in the beginning of the educational year



Items	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11	C12	C13	C14	C15	C16	C17
01	146.04	57	8.63	49.0	39.3	41.71	0.71	30	34.00	1.61	6	11	11	27	29	27	28
02	134.10	89	6.99	55.7	59.0	53.70	0.91	48	40.10	2.05	6	7	8	26	13	15	4
03	170.17	23	7.93	38.7	31.0	34.40	-0.72	30	16.63	1.54	3	6	5	15	15	12	6
04	143.01	14	7.87	25.0	62.0	33.81	0.89	59	31.50	1.62	4	8	3	16	9	15	9
05	157.08	43	7.92	46.0	63.0	54.75	1.12	66	31.90	1.53	6	6	6	20	15	16	18
06	156.16	50	7.85	48.0	78.0	59.62	1.00	66	57.58	1.62	3	7	6	11	8	11	16
07	170.01	37	7.67	44.3	72.2	45.90	1.12	30	58.13	1.71	6	7	7	11	11	10	12
08	188.50	12	8.77	38.0	70.2	46.88	1.08	72	41.45	1.77	4	4	7	18	16	15	6
09	162.17	32	8.81	30.0	67.0	38.87	1.21	48	31.31	1.59	6	6	6	17	11	14	11
10	147.34	41	6.37	46.0	54.4	18.23	1.52	66	35.45	1.59	4	9	10	26	18	16	3
11	164.62	46	6.85	40.3	61.8	24.81	1.24	78	32.95	1.75	5	5	8	15	16	14	3
12	153.16	50	7.67	44.0	78.0	30.79	1.21	68	26.43	1.68	2	9	9	11	10	13	2
13	150.05	17	8.05	45.3	70.3	32.20	0.82	69	16.25	1.52	6	10	3	11	14	13	8
14	141.25	35	7.08	45.0	73.9	31.33	1.07	66	40.11	1.66	6	5	9	19	16	10	20
15	174.32	48	9.24	30.3	101.2	41.70	1.15	66	54.78	1.56	3	5	10	11	17	16	8
16	166.00	41	7.92	57.7	59.0	40.97	1.18	48	35.11	1.61	3	11	7	26	18	14	6
17	167.44	49	8.95	43.7	116.2	37.27	1.06	68	40.00	1.69	4	7	11	20	14	16	16
18	100.10	42	7.67	37.3	69.9	30.38	1.78	78	35.75	1.64	5	8	11	16	14	15	16

Table 6. The input data of the students practicing fitness at the end of the educational year

Football	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11	C12	C13	C14	C15	C16	C17
01	152.91	33	8.23	39.0	111.6	36.17	1.67	66	34.96	1.87	1	5	5	16	14	12	7
02	140.81	40	7.90	39.0	47.3	41.63	1.04	71	32.28	1.94	1	4	5	10	14	12	7
03	119.00	24	7.18	11.0	162.1	54.58	1.98	30	24.46	1.79	1	10	6	11	11	10	5
04	171.89	9	8.31	49.3	77.0	25.16	1.24	64	40.51	1.59	6	8	11	14	8	13	12
05	152.88	36	8.58	42.0	89.3	43.55	1.59	72	49.14	1.89	4	3	6	13	17	16	4
06	108.10	11	6.80	41.7	96.2	37.91	1.44	68	39.80	1.64	4	10	7	19	18	14	7
07	152.81	39	8.07	31.7	63.6	35.73	1.31	69	43.75	2.11	6	4	6	25	12	16	7
08	154.57	45	8.39	47.3	76.2	28.64	1.43	67	34.69	1.59	4	8	5	24	15	8	13
09	187.11	34	8.10	40.0	77.2	48.05	1.47	67	38.46	2.20	6	6	6	16	16	16	16
10	171.91	32	8.98	29.3	101.1	45.68	1.64	100	37.36	2.46	1	5	6	21	18	14	7
11	181.90	36	8.53	48.3	78.1	18.56	1.63	71	45.71	1.80	4	9	8	18	9	14	14
12	229.21	9	7.86	11.0	47.6	117.9	0.83	66	58.44	2.24	1	4	10	14	13	10	21
13	216.56	10	8.31	34.7	84.3	48.03	0.83	71	49.53	1.91	2	3	9	17	14	11	20
14	153.03	9	10.09	30.3	75.6	33.38	1.19	71	33.65	1.94	1	4	8	21	13	16	17
15	182.60	10	8.15	14.7	64.2	28.76	1.14	70	17.33	1.69	4	7	6	21	11	12	10
16	176.80	16	6.22	27.3	81.8	30.65	0.83	77	48.65	1.89	1	8	10	16	13	15	15
17	151.77	48	8.96	18.3	64.4	14.54	0.89	96	44.10	2.29	1	4	7	12	11	18	17

Table 7. The input data of the students practicing football in the beginning of the educational year

Football	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11	C12	C13	C14	C15	C16	C17
01	111.35	30	7.71	30.0	92.2	56.62	1.01	64	40.14	1.61	3	5	5	15	14	12	7
02	111.16	49	7.03	39.0	77.3	30.50	1.04	64	38.90	1.61	3	6	6	15	15	12	5
03	110.16	18	6.92	11.0	58.0	11.65	0.95	44	31.25	1.50	3	10	6	11	12	15	4
04	179.81	11	8.10	38.7	68.9	30.75	0.91	39	36.41	1.46	1	9	7	11	16	15	11
05	146.18	30	8.48	40.0	63.5	38.08	1.14	71	51.55	1.62	4	7	4	17	16	16	7
06	180.95	38	9.68	41.3	88.2	25.83	1.50	64	31.34	1.73	4	11	7	19	18	14	7
07	151.81	45	8.88	14.8	67.0	32.54	0.94	64	33.60	1.53	6	5	7	21	6	12	16
08	133.80	53	7.37	48.0	70.2	14.76	0.91	68	33.90	1.49	6	10	8	24	18	13	10
09	133.01	50	8.10	37.7	61.1	31.46	1.01	30	34.18	1.61	6	9	10	20	14	14	11
10	138.88	37	8.89	34.3	91.0	36.58	1.01	81	49.04	2.06	4	9	10	20	19	15	1
11	138.69	44	8.11	53.0	55.5	15.80	0.85	30	36.77	1.70	3	11	8	15	14	10	16
12	211.10	23	7.17	41.3	35.9	28.11	0.80	66	33.23	1.53	3	10	11	19	10	11	20
13	202.17	10	8.28	41.7	53.6	45.81	0.81	64	31.68	1.63	2	4	9	17	14	15	16
14	148.05	9	9.42	12.7	68.2	31.24	1.28	68	30.01	1.68	3	10	8	23	11	16	12
15	134.11	35	7.29	43.7	55.2	31.65	1.19	71	27.58	1.54	4	10	9	10	10	11	15
16	170.75	16	6.00	11.0	77.9	18.65	0.89	68	37.38	1.61	2	6	10	16	15	15	15
17	151.60	50	8.90	25.0	53.1	28.98	1.07	68	32.19	1.57	3	3	11	18	11	6	15

Table 8. The input data of the students practicing football at the end of the educational year.

### 3 Results and discussion

The method of InterCriteria Analysis (ICA) is based on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, thus rendering account of the effects of uncertainty. Originally, ICA was being proposed in [1], and various aspects of its application over different data and its theoretical investigation are given [2, 3, 5, 6]. Following [4] in order to categorize all the values of the resultant  $n(n-1)/2$  pairs of criteria, we need to define two thresholds,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , for the positive and for the negative consonance, respectively. The threshold values  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are values on the  $[0; 1]$ - scale, changing with a precision step of 0.1. In our case the respective values, connected with the consonance/dissonance scale are as follows: strong positive consonance (0.95; 1), positive consonance (0.85; 0.95), weak positive

Intercriteria analysis of the influence of the different sports activities on the physical and emotional status of university students

consonance (0.75; 0.85), dissonance (0.55; 0.75), strong negative consonance (0.05; 0.00), negative consonance (0.5; 0.15) and weak negative consonance (0.15; 0.25). When interpreting the results, the values of  $\mu_{C1, C_i}$  and  $\nu_{C1, C_i}$ , respectively  $\pi_{Ck, C_l}$  should be considered. The ICA method is applied over the data in the above presented tables (1-8). As a result, eight tables for membership part and non-membership part of the intuitionistic fuzzy pairs, that represent an intuitionistic fuzzy evaluation of the relations between every pair of criteria in the beginning of the educational year and at the end of the educational year of the students practicing four different sports (C1-C17) were obtained (tables 1-8).

The table consists of two main sections, A and B, each containing a 17x17 matrix of values for criteria C1 through C17. The diagonal elements are all 1.0. The values in the off-diagonal cells represent the results of the InterCriteria Analysis, with red indicating higher values and green indicating lower values.

Table 9. Results of the application of the InterCriteria Analysis on the aggregated data of the of the students practicing basketball in the beginning of the educational year (A- the membership parts of the Intuitionistic fuzzy pairs, B- the non- membership parts of the Intuitionistic fuzzy pairs)

Table 10. Results of the application of the InterCriteria Analysis on the aggregated data of the of the students practicing basketball at the end of the educational year (**A**- the membership parts of the Intuitionistic fuzzy pairs, **B**- the non- membership parts of the Intuitionistic fuzzy pairs)

Table 11. Results of the application of the InterCriteria Analysis on the aggregated data of the of the students practicing table tennis in the beginning of the educational year (**A**- the membership parts of the intuitionistic fuzzy pairs, **B**- the non-membership parts of the intuitionistic fuzzy pairs)







Intercriteria analysis of the influence of the different sports activities on the physical and emotional status of university students

	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11	C12	C13	C14	C15	C16	C17	
<b>A</b>																		
C1	0.4022	0.5462	0.6224	0.3330	0.3824	0.4432	0.4509	0.3071	0.3017	0.4339	0.4025	0.5297	0.3276	0.4493	0.3265	0.6388		
C2	0.4022	0.4022	0.4926	0.4206	0.4944	0.5108	0.4128	0.3022	0.2725	0.5188	0.4612	0.4779	0.3247	0.4238	0.4084	0.4238		
C3	0.5462	0.4926	0.4022	0.4926	0.5730	0.4852	0.3705	0.2725	0.2725	0.4339	0.4025	0.5297	0.3276	0.4493	0.3265	0.6388		
C4	0.6224	0.4206	0.4926	0.4022	0.4926	0.5108	0.4128	0.3022	0.2725	0.5188	0.4612	0.4779	0.3247	0.4238	0.4084	0.4238		
C5	0.3330	0.4206	0.4926	0.4926	0.4022	0.4432	0.5259	0.2947	0.3056	0.4025	0.2824	0.4025	0.2794	0.4025	0.3056	0.6284		
C6	0.3824	0.4926	0.5730	0.4926	0.4022	0.4926	0.5508	0.3124	0.3038	0.4339	0.4025	0.5297	0.3276	0.4493	0.3265	0.6388		
C7	0.4432	0.5108	0.4852	0.5108	0.5108	0.4432	0.4779	0.4779	0.4612	0.5188	0.4612	0.5297	0.3276	0.4493	0.3265	0.6388		
C8	0.4509	0.4128	0.3705	0.3022	0.3022	0.3022	0.3022	0.3022	0.3022	0.4339	0.4025	0.5297	0.3276	0.4493	0.3265	0.6388		
C9	0.3071	0.2725	0.2725	0.2725	0.2725	0.2725	0.2725	0.2725	0.2725	0.4339	0.4025	0.5297	0.3276	0.4493	0.3265	0.6388		
C10	0.4339	0.4025	0.4339	0.4025	0.4025	0.4025	0.4025	0.4025	0.4025	0.4339	0.4025	0.5297	0.3276	0.4493	0.3265	0.6388		
C11	0.4025	0.5297	0.3276	0.4493	0.3265	0.6388	0.4025	0.3056	0.4025	0.5297	0.3276	0.4025	0.2794	0.4025	0.3056	0.6284		
C12	0.4025	0.5297	0.3276	0.4493	0.3265	0.6388	0.4025	0.3056	0.4025	0.5297	0.3276	0.4025	0.2794	0.4025	0.3056	0.6284		
C13	0.5297	0.3276	0.4493	0.3265	0.6388	0.4025	0.3056	0.4025	0.5297	0.3276	0.4025	0.2794	0.4025	0.3056	0.6284	0.4025		
C14	0.4493	0.3265	0.6388	0.4025	0.3056	0.6284	0.4025	0.3056	0.4025	0.5297	0.3276	0.4025	0.2794	0.4025	0.3056	0.6284	0.4025	
C15	0.3265	0.6388	0.4025	0.3056	0.6284	0.4025	0.3056	0.4025	0.5297	0.3276	0.4025	0.2794	0.4025	0.3056	0.6284	0.4025	0.4025	
C16	0.6388	0.4025	0.3056	0.6284	0.4025	0.3056	0.4025	0.5297	0.3276	0.4025	0.2794	0.4025	0.3056	0.6284	0.4025	0.4025	0.4025	
C17	0.3265	0.6388	0.4025	0.3056	0.6284	0.4025	0.3056	0.4025	0.5297	0.3276	0.4025	0.2794	0.4025	0.3056	0.6284	0.4025	0.4025	0.4025
<b>B</b>																		
C1	0.5724	0.4205	0.3729	0.6408	0.5176	0.5532	0.3911	0.4029	0.3039	0.3529	0.3917	0.2722	0.3022	0.4706	0.5284	0.2426		
C2	0.5724	0.4022	0.4926	0.5492	0.3882	0.4205	0.4338	0.3024	0.3012	0.2226	0.4038	0.4202	0.4118	0.4779	0.4853	0.4853		
C3	0.4205	0.4926	0.4022	0.5492	0.3882	0.4205	0.4338	0.3024	0.3012	0.2226	0.4038	0.4202	0.4118	0.4779	0.4853	0.4853		
C4	0.3729	0.4926	0.4926	0.4205	0.3882	0.4205	0.4338	0.3024	0.3012	0.2226	0.4038	0.4202	0.4118	0.4779	0.4853	0.4853		
C5	0.6408	0.5492	0.4926	0.3882	0.4205	0.4205	0.4338	0.3024	0.3012	0.2226	0.4038	0.4202	0.4118	0.4779	0.4853	0.4853		
C6	0.5176	0.3882	0.4926	0.4205	0.3882	0.4205	0.4338	0.3024	0.3012	0.2226	0.4038	0.4202	0.4118	0.4779	0.4853	0.4853		
C7	0.5532	0.4205	0.4926	0.4205	0.3882	0.4205	0.4338	0.3024	0.3012	0.2226	0.4038	0.4202	0.4118	0.4779	0.4853	0.4853		
C8	0.3911	0.4029	0.3039	0.3024	0.3012	0.3012	0.3012	0.3012	0.3012	0.2226	0.4038	0.4202	0.4118	0.4779	0.4853	0.4853		
C9	0.4029	0.3039	0.3039	0.3024	0.3012	0.3012	0.3012	0.3012	0.3012	0.2226	0.4038	0.4202	0.4118	0.4779	0.4853	0.4853		
C10	0.3529	0.3039	0.3039	0.3024	0.3012	0.3012	0.3012	0.3012	0.3012	0.2226	0.4038	0.4202	0.4118	0.4779	0.4853	0.4853		
C11	0.3039	0.3039	0.3039	0.3024	0.3012	0.3012	0.3012	0.3012	0.3012	0.2226	0.4038	0.4202	0.4118	0.4779	0.4853	0.4853		
C12	0.2722	0.3022	0.4706	0.5284	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2226	0.4038	0.4202	0.4118	0.4779	0.4853	0.4853		
C13	0.3022	0.4706	0.5284	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2226	0.4038	0.4202	0.4118	0.4779	0.4853	0.4853		
C14	0.4706	0.5284	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2226	0.4038	0.4202	0.4118	0.4779	0.4853	0.4853		
C15	0.5284	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2226	0.4038	0.4202	0.4118	0.4779	0.4853	0.4853		
C16	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2226	0.4038	0.4202	0.4118	0.4779	0.4853	0.4853		
C17	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2426	0.2226	0.4038	0.4202	0.4118	0.4779	0.4853	0.4853	0.4853	

Table 16. Results of the application of the InterCriteria Analysis on the aggregated data of the of the students practicing football at the end of the educational year (**A**- the membership parts of the intuitionistic fuzzy pairs, **B**- the non- membership parts of the intuitionistic fuzzy pairs)

From the results of the application of the ICra, we see that the pairs with the strongest positive consonance, i.e. those with smallest distance from the intuitionistic fuzzy truth (0,1), in the beginning of the educational year are those detected between the:

- C8 - C10 (basketball, table tennis and football)
- C8-C9-C10 (basketball, table tennis)

The pairs with the strongest negative consonance, i.e. those with smallest distance from the intuitionistic fuzzy truth (0,1), in the beginning of the educational year are those detected between the:

- C1 - C2 (basketball)
- C2 - C13 (football)
- C6 – C12 ( fitness)

There is also a correlation between the criteria Logical thinking (C11) and Memory (C12) with the criteria General physical fitness (C1) (fitness) and the criteria Control of attention (C6) (table tennis). At the end of the educational year all of the pairs in all of the investigated sport activities are in dissonance, and some of the strong correlations between the above mentioned criteria are missing. One possible reason for this phenomenon is that students practicing sport activities on academic level regardless of the sport they practice, develop and improve all of the locomotor skills and mental condition.

## 4 Conclusions

Based on our findings, we can conclude that in general the relations among the investigated criteria describing the physical and personality status of the students are much stronger in the beginning of the educational year compared to the relations at the end of the educational year. Some of the criteria in the test battery formed between logically related indicators can be easily excluded from the test battery in order to achieve efficiency while keeping the precision of the evaluating process.

**Acknowledgments.** The first author is grateful for the support provided under Grant No. KP-06-N-22/1/2018 “Theoretical research and applications of InterCriteria Analysis” funded by the National Science Fund of Bulgaria.

**Disclosure of Interests.** The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

## References

1. Atanassov, K., Mavrov, D., & Atanassova, V. Intercriteria Decision Making: A New Approach for Multicriteria Decision Making, Based on Index Matrices and Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets. *Issues in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Generalized Nets*, 11, 1–8 (2014)
2. Atanassov, K., Atanassova, V. & Gluhchev, G. (2015). InterCriteria Analysis: Ideas and problems, *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, 21 (1), 81–88.
3. Atanassov, K.: On Generalized Nets Theory. Prof. M. Drinov Academic Publishing House, Sofia (2007) Atanassov, K., Szmidt, E., Kacprzyk, J., & Atanassova, V. (2017). An approach to a constructive simplification of multiagent multicriteria decision making problems via intercriteria analysis. *Comptes rendus de l’Academie bulgare des Sciences*, 70 (8), 1147–1156.
4. Atanassova, V. (2015). Interpretation in the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Triangle of the Results, Systems Association (IFSA), 9th Conference of the European Society for Fuzzy Logic and Technology (EUSFLAT), 30.06 – 03.07, 2015, Gijon, Spain, 1369–1374 (2015)

Intercriteria analysis of the influence of the different sports activities on the physical and emotional status of university students

5. Bureva, V.; Michalíková, A.; Sotirova, E.; Popov, S.; Riečan, B.; Roeva, O. Application of the intercriteria analysis to the universities rankings system in the Slovak Republic. *Notes Intuit. Fuzzy Sets*, 23, 128–140 (2017)
6. Çuvalcıoğlu, G., Bureva, V., & Michalíková, A. Intercriteria analysis applied to university ranking system of Turkey. *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, 25(4), 90–97 (2019)
7. Hussain, R., Guppy, M., Robertson, S. et al. Physical and mental health perspectives of first year undergraduate rural university students. *BMC Public Health* 13, 848, <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-848> (2013)
8. Obeidat L., Aljarrah, Fatima. The Impact of Practicing Sports Activities on the Emotional State of Jordanian University Students, *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, Vol 11 No 4, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36941/jesr-2021-0083> (2021)
9. Ribagin, S., Stavrev, S.. InterCriteria Analysis of data from intellectual and physical evaluation tests of students practicing sports activities. *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, 25, 4, ISSN:1310- 4926, DOI:10.7546/nifs.2019.25.4.83-89, 83-89 H (2019)